

A Systematic Literature Review on the Integration of Epistemological Theories in Educational Management Practices

Alfan Rifai^a✉ | Waston^b | Wachidi^c | Mohamad Ali^d | Yusuf Mukhtar Taqiyyuddin^e | Muh Adam Haqiqi^f

^aDoctoral Program in Islamic Education, Universitas Muhammadiyah Surakarta, Surakarta, Indonesia.

^bDoctoral Program in Islamic Education, Universitas Muhammadiyah Surakarta, Surakarta, Indonesia.

^cDoctoral Program in Islamic Education, Universitas Muhammadiyah Surakarta, Surakarta, Indonesia.

^dDoctoral Program in Islamic Education, Universitas Muhammadiyah Surakarta, Surakarta, Indonesia.

^eDepartment of Sharia and Ilahiyah Studies, Bolu Abant Izzet Baysal University, Turkey.

^fDepartment of Qiraat, Qassim University, Mulaayda, Al-Qassim Province, Saudi Arabia.

ABSTRACT

The integration of epistemological theories into educational management has received limited scholarly attention, despite its potential to enhance the effectiveness and responsiveness of educational leadership, curriculum design, and policy-making. This study aims to systematically identify, analyze, and synthesize relevant literature on how key epistemological theories—namely constructivism, empiricism, rationalism, and pragmatism—are applied in the context of educational management. Using a qualitative Systematic Literature Review (SLR) approach, 40 peer-reviewed articles published between 2013 and 2023 were selected through a rigorous search in databases such as Scopus, Web of Science, ERIC, and ScienceDirect. The analysis was conducted using Braun and Clarke's thematic analysis framework to extract dominant themes, trends, challenges, and conceptual implications. The findings show that epistemological integration influences strategic planning, teaching evaluation, institutional governance, and leadership development. Constructivist approaches foster participatory and reflective management practices; empiricism supports evidence-based decisions; rationalism emphasizes systematic reasoning; and pragmatism promotes contextual and flexible policy-making. However, institutional challenges such as epistemological illiteracy among decision-makers and the lack of cross-disciplinary dialogue limit broader implementation. This study offers a theoretical foundation and practical recommendations to embed epistemology into educational management, while also highlighting the need for further empirical research to operationalize these frameworks in diverse educational contexts.

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received: 04 April 2025

Revised: 20 May 2025

Accepted: 21 May 2025

KEYWORDS

Epistemology;
Educational
Management;
Constructivism;
Curriculum;
Leadership.

INTRODUCTION

Education management plays a crucial role in improving the quality of education around the world. Along with the times, it is important for education managers to integrate epistemological theories in education management strategies, so that learning can be adapted to new challenges and make maximum use of knowledge. Epistemological theories, such as constructivism, empiricism, and rationalism, influence various aspects of education, from curriculum to teaching. For example, in a study conducted by Yang, it was found that students' improved epistemological understanding can be directly related to improved their knowledge integration skills in the context of web-based learning [1]. A study by Davis shows that integrating STEM in education requires a strong understanding of epistemology in each discipline, which also improves the effectiveness of student learning [2]. Other research shows that the use of epistemology-based approaches in vocational education allows for a deeper understanding of theory and practice, which helps students be better prepared for challenges in the professional world [3]. Similarly, research by Restu highlights how the application of epistemology in Islamic education can address the differences between secular and religious education, as well as enrich the way we view the integration of knowledge in education [4]. With this more holistic approach,

education can create outcomes that are more comprehensive and relevant to the needs of today's society [5], [6], [7], [8], [9].

Although many studies have addressed the application of epistemological theories in education, there is a lack of studies that systematically integrate these theories in education management. Existing research focuses more on individual aspects of epistemology, such as how epistemological theories can affect teaching or the way students process information, but less highlights the integration of epistemology in managerial policy and practice [10][11][4][12]. Studies by Seehawer & Breidlid suggest that the integration of diverse knowledge can enrich the understanding of education in a variety of cultural contexts, but there has not been much research exploring this application in the broader managerial context of education [11]. In addition, although much research on STEM integration has been conducted, little has been done in depth on how education management can align policies with epistemological understandings to support the success of such programs [2], [13], [14], [15]. Research by Souza suggests that epistemological theory can provide clearer guidance in designing better managerial strategies, but there is still little research linking this to education policy directly [16]. Therefore, it is important to explore how the integration of epistemological theories can be implemented in education management.

Several recent studies have attempted to examine the relationship between epistemological theories and educational management, but the integration of these theories in educational managerial practice is still limited. Achmad & Fitria propose an approach that combines ontology, epistemology, and axiology in the management of Islamic education, which can provide practical solutions but remain relevant to Islamic values. In a study by Restu, Fethullah Gülen's epistemology that integrates religious knowledge with modern knowledge is thought to be able to overcome the differences between secular and religious education [4]. Meanwhile, research by Seehawer & Breidlid reveals the importance of dialogue between indigenous and Western epistemology in the context of education in Sub-Saharan Africa to improve the quality of education in accordance with local needs [11]. Other research by Davis shows that integrating STEM in education will improve teaching by utilizing an interdisciplinary epistemology that combines science, technology, engineering, and mathematics [2]. Furthermore, a study by Orozco shows that integrating theory and practice in vocational education through an epistemological approach can reduce the gap between theory and practical application faced by students in the professional world [3]. Overall, these findings suggest that the integration of epistemology in education can enrich education management, but much more needs to be done in applying this concept in broader educational managerial practice.

The integration of epistemological theories into educational managerial policies and practices is still under-appreciated in the existing literature, but this can affect the way we design curricula, learning policies, and managerial strategies that are more responsive to global educational developments and contemporary challenges. Recent studies have shown that epistemology can play an important role in vocational education, STEM, and Islamic education, but little research links this to broader education managerial policies [17], [18], [19], [20]. Therefore, it is important to conduct an in-depth study of how the integration of epistemological theory in education management can improve the effectiveness of the education system as a whole. This research will fill this gap by reviewing and evaluating the existing literature on the application of epistemology in educational managerial policies and practices.

This research aims to identify, analyze, and evaluate the current literature on the integration of epistemological theory in education management practice. This research also aims to provide recommendations for education managers in integrating epistemological theory in their policies, in order to improve the quality of education that is more holistic and responsive to the times. For example, findings from Orozco suggest that the integration of epistemology-based theory and practice can improve students' technical

skills, while Davis suggest that interdisciplinary approaches in STEM be applied to improve teaching in schools [2], [3]. Thus, this research will provide guidance on how epistemological theory can be applied in education management to achieve educational goals that are more effective and relevant to the challenges of the 21st century [18], [21], [22], [23], [24].

LITERATURE REVIEW

Epistemology, as a branch of philosophy concerned with the nature and sources of knowledge, plays a strategic role in the field of education—particularly in educational management. Integrating epistemological theories such as empiricism, rationalism, constructivism, and pragmatism into managerial practices can enrich the planning, implementation, and evaluation of educational policies [11]. Empiricism supports data-driven and experience-based decision-making; rationalism emphasizes logic and systematic reasoning; constructivism promotes collaborative and participatory learning environments; and pragmatism encourages contextual and responsive policy-making. Various studies have shown that a strong epistemological foundation not only enhances teaching effectiveness but also supports more adaptive and reflective institutional management [4].

However, most existing literature focuses on the application of epistemology in teaching and curriculum development, with limited attention to its role in broader educational management. Many studies overlook how epistemological perspectives can shape leadership strategies, institutional evaluation, and human resource management in educational settings [20]. The main challenges to integration include limited epistemological literacy among policymakers, the dominance of technocratic and efficiency-oriented approaches, and the lack of interdisciplinary dialogue between philosophy, management, and education. As a result, the application of epistemology in educational management remains largely conceptual, with few concrete models for implementation [17].

Therefore, it is crucial for education leaders and researchers to systematically integrate epistemology into educational management. This involves developing educational leadership training rooted in philosophical reflection, revising management curricula to include epistemological discourse, and encouraging empirical research to explore the practical impact of epistemology-based approaches [3]. By doing so, educational systems can become more adaptive to contemporary challenges and better equipped to produce policies grounded in deep understanding of knowledge and learning processes—ultimately leading to more effective and meaningful educational outcomes.

METHODOLOGY

This research is a qualitative study with a Systematic Literature Review (SLR) approach that aims to identify, evaluate, and systematically synthesize scientific literature that discusses the integration of epistemological theory in education management practice [25], [26], [27], [28]. The SLR approach was chosen because it provides a structured framework for collecting and analyzing findings from previous studies, while allowing researchers to uncover key trends, research gaps, and theoretical and practical contributions in the field under review. The research procedure in this study follows a systematic approach as described in the current literature, which includes the planning stages, the implementation of the literature search and selection process, and the reporting of results in a transparent and structured manner [29][30].

Inclusion and exclusion criteria are established to ensure the quality and relevance of the studies being analyzed. Inclusion criteria include articles published in peer-reviewed scientific journals or reputable conference proceedings, publications that explicitly discuss epistemological theories in the context of managerial or education policy, articles published between 2013 and 2023, and articles written in English or Indonesian. Meanwhile, the exclusion criteria include non-academic articles such as editorials or popular opinions, publications that only review epistemological theories in the abstract without linking them to

educational practice, as well as studies that are not available in full-text versions or are duplicates of studies that have been studied.

The literature was collected from five internationally recognized academic databases, namely Scopus, Web of Science, Google Scholar, ERIC, and ScienceDirect. The search process uses a combination of keywords developed based on relevant key terms, such as "epistemology", "epistemological theory", "educational management", "education policy", and "integration". These keyword combinations are developed with the help of Boolean operators (AND, OR) to adapt to the search features in each database and maximize the output of relevant results. After the search stage, the initial selection is carried out through a review of titles and abstracts, followed by a full-text analysis of the articles that meet the initial criteria.

The selection process was carried out systematically by two independent researchers to ensure objectivity. Disagreements or differences of opinion in the selection process are resolved through discussion until a consensus is reached. The selected articles were then analyzed using thematic analysis techniques based on the reflexive thematic analysis approach developed by Braun and Clarke, with six stages of analysis which included data familiarization, initial coding, theme search, theme review, theme naming, and analytical report preparation [31], [32], [33]. The analysis stages include familiarization with the data, initial coding, identification and grouping of themes, review and naming of themes, and preparation of synthesis reports. The analysis is focused on identifying forms of integration of epistemological theory into educational managerial practice, implementation challenges in the field, and the conceptual and practical implications of such integration [34]. The findings are narratically arranged and presented in the form of thematic synthesis to illustrate the relationship between epistemology and education management in various contexts.

RESULTS

Epistemological Theory in the Context of Education

Epistemology, in general, is a branch of philosophy that discusses the essence, source, structure, and validity of knowledge. In the context of education, epistemology is a conceptual foundation that influences the way we understand the teaching-learning process, how knowledge is constructed, and how the validity and authority of knowledge are determined. Epistemology not only plays a role in the development of educational theory, but also has direct implications for learning practices, curriculum strategies, and managerial policies of education [17], [35], [36], [37], [38]. In other words, epistemology helps answer fundamental questions such as: What is considered knowledge? How do students acquire knowledge? And how does the teacher judge the truth of that knowledge?

Based on a literature review, it was found that there are several main epistemological theories that are most widely used in the context of education, namely empiricism, rationalism, constructivism, and pragmatism [39], [40], [41], [42], [43]. *Empiricism* emphasizes that knowledge is obtained through sensory experience. In the context of education, this approach encourages experiential learning and hands-on practice, which is widely applied in vocational education or project-based learning [44], [45]. Meanwhile, *rationalism* is based on the idea that knowledge comes from reason and logic. This model emphasizes the importance of reasoning and critical thinking in the learning process, which is often implemented in mathematics, philosophy, and formal science education [39], [46], [47], [48]. *Constructivism*, as one of the most dominant approaches in modern education, emphasizes that knowledge is actively constructed by individuals through interaction with the environment and social experiences. This theory has greatly influenced the design of curriculums that are oriented towards active, collaborative, and reflective learning [43], [49]. Pragmatism emphasizes the

usefulness and application of knowledge in real life, which encourages a contextual and problem-solving-based approach to learning [50], [51].

The relevance of epistemology to learning is significant, as the epistemological framework adopted by educators and policymakers will determine the teaching methods, forms of evaluation, and curriculum approaches applied [52], [53]. For example, teachers who adhere to a constructivist approach tend to avoid traditional lecture methods and prefer project-based learning or group discussions. On the other hand, institutions that adopt an empirical approach may use more laboratories, fieldwork practices, and case studies. Not only that, epistemology also plays a role in shaping the relationship between teachers and students, perceptions of knowledge, and how institutions respond to social and technological dynamics in the educational process. Therefore, a deep understanding of epistemological theories is not only essential for the professional development of teachers, but also crucial in designing an educational management system that is adaptive, reflective, and relevant to the needs of contemporary society.

Educational Management: Theory and Practice

Education management is a process of planning, organizing, directing, and supervising educational resources in order to achieve educational goals effectively and efficiently. Conceptually, education management is not only related to administrative aspects, but also includes strategic and philosophical dimensions that affect policy direction, learning quality, and overall institutional development. In the contemporary context, education management practices are required to be more adaptive and transformative, in order to respond to global changes, technological developments, and the demands of 21st century competencies.

Classical education management theories such as scientific management, human relations theory, and systems theory are still relevant as a framework in understanding the structure and function of educational organizations. However, in practice, modern educational management approaches have undergone a shift towards a more reflective and collaborative model. This approach emphasizes the importance of active participation of all education stakeholders, data-driven decision-making, as well as the deeper integration of philosophical values, including epistemology, in managerial practice [54], [55].

The application of epistemological theory in education management is one of the promising new approaches in directing leadership strategies, curriculum development, and institutional evaluation. For example, the epistemology of constructivism provides a foundation for education managers to shape an environment that supports experiential, dialogue-based, and reflective learning. Empiricism, on the other hand, encourages evidence-based management and data-driven evaluation, which is increasingly important in the era of educational accountability. Rationalism helps to strengthen the decision-making process through systematic and logical reasoning, while pragmatism encourages contextual and solution-oriented managerial policy flexibility.

In practice, education managers are not only required to understand management theories technically, but also to be aware of the epistemological framework underlying policy-making and the formulation of learning strategies. This includes an understanding of how knowledge is generated, validated, and applied in the context of educational institutions. Thus, the integration between management theory and epistemology is important to form a managerial system that is more meaningful, adaptive, and relevant to today's educational dynamics.

Integration of Epistemology in Educational Management Practice

The integration of epistemology in education management refers to the application of epistemological principles in the planning, implementation, and evaluation strategies of policies and management practices of educational institutions. Epistemology is important in education management because it can shape the way managers view the knowledge process, decision-making, and the formation of academic culture in institutions [56][10][57]. In managerial practice, the integration of epistemology can provide direction in the development of curriculum, learning methods, assessment systems, and human resource management. The constructivism approach, for example, encourages the creation of an active, collaborative, and participatory learning environment. An education manager who implements this approach is likely to develop a formative evaluation system, support a learning community between teachers, and actively involve students in school decision-making. Meanwhile, the empirical approach encourages the use of data and hands-on experience in policy formulation, such as the implementation of laboratory-based learning, internship programs, and performance-based evaluations. Rationalism, which emphasizes logic and deduction, is reflected in a system of quality assurance based on indicators and systematic analysis. Pragmatism emphasizes flexibility and practical usefulness, which are the basis for designing contextual and community-oriented education policies.

At the policy level, epistemological integration is increasingly important in responding to global challenges and social dynamics. Constructivism-based curriculum, for example, influences how learning objectives are formulated and how content is structured thematically and interactively. Empirical policies encourage evidence-based policies, while pragmatic approaches require management flexibility to adapt to local socio-economic conditions.

However, despite the potential to strengthen the quality of education management, epistemological integration still faces various challenges. One of the main obstacles is the lack of conceptual understanding of policymakers and education managers of abstract and philosophical epistemological theories. Many institutions still adopt a technocratic approach to decision-making, which focuses on administrative efficiency and does not touch on the reflective dimension of knowledge. In addition, the lack of philosophically oriented leadership training and limited cross-disciplinary dialogue are serious obstacles in the implementation of the overall epistemological approach.

Therefore, a systematic strategy is needed to strengthen the integration of epistemology in managerial capacity development. This includes the development of value-based leadership training policies, the development of an educational management education curriculum that accommodates epistemological discussions, and the encouragement to conduct interdisciplinary collaborative research between philosophy, management, and education.

DISCUSSION

Based on the results of a systematic review of the analyzed literature, it can be concluded that the integration of epistemological theory in education management makes a significant contribution to the formation of more reflective, participatory, and contextual education management policies and practices. Epistemological approaches such as constructivism, empiricism, rationalism, and pragmatism have direct implications for the way education managers design curricula, learning strategies, and institutional evaluation and decision-making systems. Epistemological literacy has been proven to support the creation of a more adaptive and holistic learning environment, encourage dialogue between various sources of knowledge, and increase the relevance of educational institutions to societal needs and global challenges. These findings also strengthen the view that

the epistemological approach can be a strategic framework for education policy reform, especially in the era of information disruption and digitalization of learning.

Although the benefits of integrating epistemology in education management have been widely identified, the implementation process is inseparable from conceptual and practical challenges. The main challenge lies in the limited epistemological understanding among policymakers and managers of educational institutions. Many education policies are still pragmatic and reactive, without being based on a solid epistemological framework. In addition, resistance to change, especially in a bureaucratic and conservative educational environment, is an obstacle in the implementation of a learning approach based on reflection and active participation. Educators also often face difficulties in translating epistemological theories into applicable teaching strategies due to limited training, resources, and time. In addition, epistemological approaches demand an institutional environment that supports intellectual exploration and cross-disciplinary collaboration, which is not necessarily available in all educational contexts, particularly in areas with limited infrastructure and policy support.

This study has several limitations that need to be considered in the interpretation of the results. First, this study only analyzed the literature available in English and Indonesian in the last ten years, thus allowing for the missing of relevant publications in other languages or longer periods. Second, most of the literature analyzed comes from the context of higher education or education systems in countries with strong academic infrastructure, so generalization of results into the context of primary education or regions with different education systems needs to be done carefully. Third, although the Systematic Literature Review method provides a thorough thematic synthesis, the nature of the study relies on secondary data and does not include primary empirical data that can strengthen the validity of the findings in real practice. Finally, not all of the articles analyzed present a concrete model of epistemological integration in managerial policy, so the conclusions produced tend to be conceptual and interpretive.

CONCLUSION

This systematic literature review shows that the integration of epistemological theories into education management is not just a philosophical discourse, but a strategic approach that can make a real contribution to the effectiveness and relevance of the education system in the contemporary era. Key theories such as constructivism, empiricism, rationalism, and pragmatism, have been proven to not only form the conceptual foundation in curriculum policy formulation, learning strategies, and evaluation systems, but also influence the way educational institutions make decisions, manage resources, and respond to social and technological changes. The epistemological approach allows for the development of an educational environment that is participatory, reflective, and adaptive to global challenges and local needs. However, the results of the study also indicate that the understanding and application of epistemology in the managerial context of education is still limited, both in the academic literature and in institutional practice. Therefore, the integration of epistemology into education management is not only important, but also urgent to be researched and applied more widely.

Recommendations for Managerial Practice

Based on the findings of this study, education managers are advised to adopt a more systematic epistemological approach in their managerial practices. This can start by developing a curriculum policy based on the principles of constructivism, providing space for active and reflective learning. In addition, it is important to build an evaluation system that is not only oriented to quantitative results, but also respects the process of constructing students' knowledge individually and socially. Education managers also need to provide training and capacity building for teachers and academic staff to be able to understand and implement epistemological theories in daily learning. At the

institutional level, it is recommended that there be cross-disciplinary collaboration and open epistemological dialogue between policymakers, educators, and other stakeholders to ensure that every managerial decision has a strong and relevant reflective basis.

Further Research Direction

To strengthen the conceptual and operational basis of the integration of epistemology in education management, further empirical and contextual research is needed. Future studies should examine directly the implementation of epistemological approaches in managerial practice at various levels of education and different cultural contexts. In addition, qualitative research with a case study approach can be used to delve deeper into how education managers' epistemological understandings influence learning policies and innovations in their institutions. Experimental studies can also be conducted to measure the impact of the implementation of epistemology-based policies on student learning outcomes, teacher engagement, and overall institutional effectiveness. Interdisciplinary research that combines the perspectives of educational philosophy, management, and learning psychology is also indispensable to produce a comprehensive and applicable model of epistemological integration in modern education systems.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to express their gratitude to all academic mentors, peer reviewers, and colleagues who provided valuable input throughout the completion of this research. Special thanks are also extended to the institutions affiliated with each author for their support and facilitation during the preparation of this article.

Author Contribution

All authors contributed equally to the development of this article. The contributions included conceptual design, data gathering, literature review, thematic analysis, and manuscript preparation. Specific roles varied among authors, including lead writing, translation, editing, financial support, and critical revision. All authors reviewed and approved the final version of the manuscript for publication.

Conflicts of Interest

All authors declare no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- [1] X. Yang, G. Zhao, Q. Zhao, and X. Yan, "The Relationship between Students' Scientific Epistemological Beliefs and their Performance of Knowledge Integration in Web-based Inquiry," in *2019 Eighth International Conference on Educational Innovation through Technology (EITT)*, IEEE, Oct. 2019, pp. 19–24. <https://doi.org/10.1109/EITT.2019.00013>.
- [2] J. P. Davis, V. Chandra, and A. Bellocchi, "Integrated STEM in Initial Teacher Education: Tackling Diverse Epistemologies," 2019, pp. 23–40. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-29489-2_2.
- [3] M. Orozco, D. Gijbels, and C. Timmerman, "Conceiving the relationship between theory and practice in T-VET. An in-depth study on key actors' epistemological perspectives," *J. Vocat. Educ. Train.*, vol. 73, no. 3, pp. 392–412, Jul. 2021, <https://doi.org/10.1080/13636820.2020.1715468>.
- [4] Muhamad Restu Fauzi and Tasman Hamami, "Fethullah Gülen's Epistemology of Islamic Education and its Implementation towards Integrated Islamic Education," *J. Pendidik. Agama Islam (Journal Islam. Educ. Stud.)*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 41–58, Jul. 2022, <https://doi.org/10.15642/jpai.2022.10.1.41-58>.
- [5] P. Charland, "Educational Development through the Lens of Curriculum: A Holistic and Systemic Approach," *БОЛОВСРОЛЫН СУДАЛГААНЫ МОНГОЛЫН СЭТГҮҮЛ*, Dec. 2024, <https://doi.org/10.56380/mjer.si.2024.04>.

- [6] S. Sahinoglu, "Evaluation of education in the contemporary world from a perspective of holistic approach," *EcoSoEn*, no. 3–4, pp. 92–104, Dec. 2022, <https://doi.org/10.54481/ecosoen.2022.3-4.12>.
- [7] Arti Chopra and Dr. Padmakali Banerjee, "Approaches Towards Holistic and Multi-Disciplinary Education for Diverse Career Opportunities," *Int. J. Eng. Technol. Manag. Sci.*, vol. 7, no. 5, pp. 293–304, 2023, <https://doi.org/10.46647/ijetms.2023.v07i05.034>.
- [8] L. Judijanto, D. E. Subroto, D. Dumiyati, Y. D. Haryanti, and N. Thalib, "Investigating the Role of Holistic Education in Global Learning: A Bibliometric Approach," *Eastasouth J. Learn. Educ.*, vol. 2, no. 03, pp. 209–221, Nov. 2024, <https://doi.org/10.58812/esle.v2i03.367>.
- [9] F. Asroriah, Y. Hanafi, and E. Machmud Putra, "The Holistic Education Concept According to KH Imam Zarkasyi," *Al-Lubab J. Penelit. Pendidik. dan Keagamaan Islam*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 51–66, Nov. 2023, <https://doi.org/10.59689/al-lubab.v9i2.5698>.
- [10] J. Mainardes, "Contributions from the ethico-onto-epistemological perspective for research in the field of education policy," *Educ. Policy Anal. Arch.*, vol. 30, Sep. 2022, <https://doi.org/10.14507/epaa.30.7436>.
- [11] M. Seehawer and A. Breidlid, "Dialogue between epistemologies as quality education. Integrating knowledges in Sub-Saharan African classrooms to foster sustainability learning and contextually relevant education," *Soc. Sci. Humanit. Open*, vol. 4, no. 1, p. 100200, 2021, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssaho.2021.100200>.
- [12] S. Suhernawati et al., "Science-Islam Integration: A Critical Examination of Ziauddin Sardar's Epistemology and Its Relevance for Indonesian State Islamic Universities," *Indones. J. Educ. Res.*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 87–95, Feb. 2025, <https://doi.org/10.37251/ijoer.v6i1.1408>.
- [13] V. Stuikeys, R. Burbaitė, V. Drasutė, G. Ziberkas, and S. Drasutis, "A framework for introducing personalisation into stemdriven computer science education," *Int. J. Eng. Educ.*, vol. 35, no. 4, pp. 1176–1193, 2019, [Online]. Available: <https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-85073661324&partnerID=40&md5=b197103b504bae68ad03d116ed16f409>
- [14] J. Ortiz-Revilla, A. Adúriz-Bravo, and I. M. Greca, "A Framework for Epistemological Discussion on Integrated STEM Education," *Sci. Educ.*, vol. 29, no. 4, pp. 857–880, Aug. 2020, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11191-020-00131-9>.
- [15] B. M. Reynante, M. E. Selbach-Allen, and D. R. Pimentel, "Exploring the Promises and Perils of Integrated STEM Through Disciplinary Practices and Epistemologies," *Sci. Educ.*, vol. 29, no. 4, pp. 785–803, Aug. 2020, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11191-020-00121-x>.
- [16] W. Souza, "A importância da reflexão epistemológica no ato pedagógico: os paradigmas pedagógicos face ao relatório da UNESCO sobre os futuros da educação," *Cad. Educ. Tecnol. e Soc.*, vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 538–549, Jun. 2024, <https://doi.org/10.14571/brajets.v17.n2.538-549>.
- [17] G. J. Kelly, "Epistemics and Education," *Éducation Didact.*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 135–152, 2024, <https://doi.org/10.4000/11ny2>.
- [18] F. Mansouri and H. Hamzaoui, "Toward Epistemological Development Across the Curriculum: The Effect of Embedded Epistemic Instruction," *J. Coll. Stud. Dev.*, vol. 65, no. 1, pp. 64–78, Jan. 2024, <https://doi.org/10.1353/csd.2024.a919351>.
- [19] J. M. Paraskeva, "Itinerant curriculum theory: People's theory against the field's epistemicidal ethos," *Curric. J.*, Feb. 2025, <https://doi.org/10.1002/curj.320>.
- [20] C. BÖREKÇİ and N. UYANGÖR, "Analyzing Pre-service Teachers' Educational Philosophy Preferences, Curriculum Design Orientation, and Epistemological Beliefs with Structural Equation Model," *Particip. Educ. Res.*, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 356–371, Aug. 2021, <https://doi.org/10.17275/per.21.70.8.3>.
- [21] M. Boon, M. Orozco, and K. Sivakumar, "Epistemological and educational issues in teaching practice-oriented scientific research: roles for philosophers of science," *Eur. J. Philos. Sci.*, vol. 12, no. 1, p. 16, Mar. 2022, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13194-022-00447-z>.

- [22] B. Kaushal, D. Singh, R. Magoon, and R. Kashav, "Pedagogy-andragogyheutagogy: Towards transformative educational epistemologies," *J. Anaesthesiol. Clin. Pharmacol.*, vol. 38, no. 3, pp. 497–498, Jul. 2022, https://doi.org/10.4103/joacp.JOACP_270_20.
- [23] N. Himmi, I. Dewi, and F. Ahyaningsih, "Epistemological and Ethical Philosophy of Mathematics In 21st Century Mathematics Learning Practices," *Mathline J. Mat. dan Pendidik. Mat.*, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 1507–1520, Nov. 2023, <https://doi.org/10.31943/mathline.v8i4.547>.
- [24] E. C. L. Mora, "Epistemological Foundations for a Pedagogical Model Based on a Complex Perspective for a Superior Educational Organization," in *Proceedings of the 2020 9th International Conference on Educational and Information Technology*, New York, NY, USA: ACM, Feb. 2020, pp. 178–183. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3383923.3383945>.
- [25] A. W. Fodouop Kouam, "A Systematic Literature Review of Post-Positivism and Critical Realism as Epistemological Frameworks in Educational Research," *Int. J. Chang. Educ.*, Feb. 2025, <https://doi.org/10.47852/bonviewIJCE52024338>.
- [26] N. Yaqien, S. M. Hasanah, and F. Uyun, "Systematic Review and Mapping of Theses in the Management of Islamic Education Program Based on Ontological, Epistemological, and Axiological Studies," *J-MPI (Jurnal Manaj. Pendidik. Islam.*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 101–113, Dec. 2024, <https://doi.org/10.18860/jmpi.v9i2.28946>.
- [27] S. Lubis, S. Salminawati, and U. Usiono, "Systematic Literature Review: Transformation of Islamic Higher Education Institutions (Examination of Ontology, Epistemology, and Axiology)," *Edumaspul J. Pendidik.*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 5269–5277, Oct. 2023, <https://doi.org/10.33487/edumaspul.v7i2.7197>.
- [28] U. Ubaidah, N. Ibrahim, and E. Siregar, "The Epistemology of Transformative Learning: A Systematic Instructional Design Framework Model in Transformative Learning," *Int. J. Multi Discip. Sci.*, vol. 6, no. 1, p. 18, Feb. 2023, <https://doi.org/10.26737/ij-mds.v6i1.3545>.
- [29] Varsha P S, A. Chakraborty, and A. K. Kar, "How to Undertake an Impactful Literature Review: Understanding Review Approaches and Guidelines for High-impact Systematic Literature Reviews," *South Asian J. Bus. Manag. Cases*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 18–35, Apr. 2024, <https://doi.org/10.1177/22779779241227654>.
- [30] Katarina Pantic and Megan Hamilton, "Conducting a Systematic Literature Review in Education: A Basic Approach for Graduate Students," *Brock Educ. J.*, vol. 33, no. 1, pp. 49–65, Feb. 2024, <https://doi.org/10.26522/brocked.v33i1.1121>.
- [31] D. Byrne, "A worked example of Braun and Clarke's approach to reflexive thematic analysis," *Qual. Quant.*, vol. 56, no. 3, pp. 1391–1412, Jun. 2022, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11135-021-01182-y>.
- [32] K. Campbell et al., "Reflexive Thematic Analysis for Applied Qualitative Health Research," *Qual. Rep.*, Jun. 2021, <https://doi.org/10.46743/2160-3715/2021.5010>.
- [33] L. Hole, "Handle with care; considerations of Braun and Clarke's approach to thematic analysis," *Qual. Res. J.*, vol. 24, no. 4, pp. 371–383, Jun. 2024, <https://doi.org/10.1108/QRJ-08-2023-0132>.
- [34] P. A. Christou, "How to use thematic analysis in qualitative research," *J. Qual. Res. Tour.*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 79–95, Dec. 2022, <https://doi.org/10.4337/jqrt.2023.0006>.
- [35] J. M. M. Islami, I. Hudzaifah, S. Kariah, A. Nurabadi, and M. Habibi, "Epistemology and its Application in the World of Education," *Int. J. Soc. Sci. Hum. Res.*, vol. 07, no. 11, Nov. 2024, <https://doi.org/10.47191/ijsshr/v7-i11-23>.
- [36] N. Akdoğan, "Epistemoloji Açısından Eğitim Yönetiminde Doğruluk Sorunsalı," *Int. J. Soc. Sci.*, vol. 8, no. 33, pp. 415–429, Feb. 2024, <https://doi.org/10.52096/usbd.8.33.26>.
- [37] M. R. Tonelli and R. Bluhm, "Teaching Medical Epistemology within an Evidence-Based Medicine Curriculum," *Teach. Learn. Med.*, vol. 33, no. 1, pp. 98–105, Jan. 2021, <https://doi.org/10.1080/10401334.2020.1835666>.
- [38] O. A. Ponce, N. Pagán-Maldonado, and J. Gómez Galán, "Philosophy of Educational Research: New Epistemological, Methodological and Historical Approach," *Int. J. Educ. Excell.*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 63–79, 2020, <https://doi.org/10.18562/IJEE.058>.
- [39] E. Višňovský, "Pragmatist Empiricism (Towards a Conception of Human Being)," *Hum. Aff.*, vol. 33, no. 2, pp. 197–209, Jun.

- 2023, <https://doi.org/10.1515/humaff-2022-1016>.
- [40] W. S. Stepanenko, "A new name for some old ways of thinking: pragmatism, radical empiricism, and epistemology in W.E.B. Du Bois's 'Of the Sorrow Songs,'" *Int. J. Philos. Relig.*, vol. 87, no. 2, pp. 173–192, Apr. 2020, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11153-019-09717-y>.
- [41] Faizmailatus Sofa and Reza Ayu Nur Safitri, "Pemikiran Pragmatisme-Konstruktivisme John Dewey sebagai Metode Pembelajaran di Madrasah Tsanawiyah," *HEUTAGOGIA J. Islam. Educ.*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 45–62, Jun. 2022, <https://doi.org/10.14421/hjie.2022.21-04>.
- [42] N. BROWN, *Rationalist Empiricism*. Fordham University Press, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.2307/j.ctv11991hz>.
- [43] M. Silver, *Rationalist Pragmatism*. Lexington Books, 2020. <https://doi.org/10.5771/9781793605405>.
- [44] Y. D. Kunthi and S. Suwendi, "Developing Children's Learning Experiences: Analysis of John Locke's Empiricism Theory in the Perspective of Islamic Education," *J-PAI J. Pendidik. Agama Islam*, vol. 11, no. 1, Dec. 2024, <https://doi.org/10.18860/jpai.v11i1.29683>.
- [45] G. Walshe, "Radical Constructivism—von Glasersfeld," 2020, pp. 359–371. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-43620-9_24.
- [46] P. K. Murphy, T. M. Ogata, and E. C. Schoute, "'Valued' Thinking in Education: Liberating the Narrative," *Educ. Psychol. Rev.*, vol. 35, no. 1, p. 35, Mar. 2023, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-023-09754-0>.
- [47] T. Mormann, "From Cautious Enthusiasm to Profound Disenchantment: Ernest Nagel and Carnapian Logical Empiricism," 2022, pp. 89–108. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-81010-8_5.
- [48] M. C. Tobias and J. G. Morrison, "A Metaphysics of Naturalism," in *On the Nature of Ecological Paradox*, Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2021, pp. 823–827. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-64526-7_95.
- [49] M. Z. Damanik, "Pragmatisme Rasional Peningkatan Etos Dan Nilai Moral Generasi Stroberi Melalui Pendidikan Agama Islam Di Era Kontemporer," *J. Al Wahyu*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 17–36, Jun. 2023, <https://doi.org/10.62214/jayu.v1i1.111>.
- [50] C. Brenner, K. DesPortes, J. Ochoa Hendrix, and M. Holford, "GeoForge: investigating integrated virtual reality and personalized websites for collaboration in middle school science," *Inf. Learn. Sci.*, vol. 122, no. 7–8, pp. 546–564, 2021, <https://doi.org/10.1108/ILS-12-2020-0254>.
- [51] D. Manieri, "Pragmatismo e Razão Comunicacional: uma contribuição ao Aprendizado Educacional," *Rev. Digit. Ensino Filos. - REFilo*, vol. 6, Oct. 2020, <https://doi.org/10.5902/2448065747231>.
- [52] C. R. Teal, C. R. Tucker, and J. L. Hanson, "What you think you know shapes what you see: How epistemology shapes curriculum, teaching, and learning," *New Dir. Teach. Learn.*, vol. 2024, no. 178, pp. 7–16, Jun. 2024, <https://doi.org/10.1002/tl.20584>.
- [53] K. P. Tamba and W. Cendana, "The relationship between pre-service elementary school mathematics teachers's beliefs about epistemology of mathematics, teaching and learning, and mathematics assessment," *Prem. Educ. J. Pendidik. Dasar dan Pembelajaran*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 40–41, Jun. 2021, <https://doi.org/10.25273/pe.v11i1.8311>.
- [54] C. Lucas et al., "Conceptualisation and development of the RIPE-N model (reflective interprofessional education-network model) to enhance interprofessional collaboration across multiple health professions," *Reflective Pract.*, vol. 21, no. 5, pp. 712–730, Sep. 2020, <https://doi.org/10.1080/14623943.2020.1784866>.
- [55] P. Lyons and R. Bandura, "Reciprocal-reflective approach to learning: an approach to increase employee and manager learning," *Dev. Learn. Organ. An Int. J.*, vol. 38, no. 6, pp. 23–26, Oct. 2024, <https://doi.org/10.1108/DLO-10-2023-0229>.
- [56] D. Yusuf Aditya, M. A. Nurmantoro, A. Saefullah Kamali, U. Saepul Mustakim, and A. Nur Indriani, "Manajemen Pendidikan dalam Kajian Epistemologi," *Metakognisi J. Kaji. Pendidik.*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 22–30, Jan. 2023, <https://doi.org/10.57121/meta.v5i1.49>.
- [57] N. Rusli, M. R. Mohd Hussain, N. D. Nizarudin, S. S. S. Sabri, and S. Abdul Hamed, "The Implications of Epistemology on

Knowledge and Awareness in Green Campus Management,” *Semarak Int. J. Des. Built Environ. Sustain.*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 20–28, Dec. 2024, <https://doi.org/10.37934/sijdbes.1.1.2028>.