

**Ishraqi**

P-ISSN : 1412-5722, E-ISSN : Process

Received: 01-01-2024, Revised: 05-02-2024

Accepted: 10-03-2024, Published: 01-06-2024

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.23917/ishraqi.v24i1.10516>

Israel's Global Isolation: International Decisions in the Wake of the Gaza War

Mukhtar Imam¹

Abstract

The objective of this study is to examine the global reactions to the Gaza War of 2024 and their impact on Israel's international standing, focusing on the geopolitical, legal, and economic consequences for Israel. Using international relations theories like realism and liberalism, the study analyzes shifts in alliances, economic sanctions, and the role of international law in shaping Israel's diplomatic relations. This research adopts a qualitative approach, analyzing secondary data from diplomatic statements, legal documents, and media reports, with a focus on the actions of the United Nations, European nations, and international courts such as the ICC and ICJ. Results indicate that Israel's global isolation has intensified, with European countries imposing arms embargoes and several nations downgrading diplomatic ties. The ICC and ICJ have initiated legal proceedings, including investigations into potential war crimes and a genocide case against Israel, adding pressure on the country's leadership. Additionally, the recognition of Palestinian statehood by several European nations has further complicated Israel's diplomatic relations. The economic ramifications are evident in the increased participation in the Boycott, Divestment, and Sanctions (BDS) movement, which has led to reconsideration of multinational corporations' operations in Israel. The research highlights the implications for future peace negotiations, the role of international law, and the shifting alliances in the Middle East. This study offers a unique contribution by exploring the interconnectedness of legal actions, diplomatic shifts, and grassroots movements in the context of the Gaza War, providing insights into Israel's evolving international position.

Keywords: Israel; Global Isolation; International Decisions; Gaza War; International Law.

Introduction

The Gaza War, which erupted in October 2024, has become a pivotal moment in the ongoing Israeli-Palestinian conflict. This devastating conflict, characterized by intense violence and significant civilian casualties, has not only had a profound impact on the local population but has also elicited strong and varied reactions from the international community. The consequences of this war

extend beyond the immediate human suffering, and they are now reshaping Israel's diplomatic relations, its geopolitical alliances, and its place in the global arena [1], [2], [3], [4].

Historically, Israel has enjoyed strong support from key Western allies, particularly the United States and several European countries. This support has been grounded in shared democratic values, strategic alliances, and historical ties, which have allowed Israel to navigate the complex political terrain of the Middle East. However, the Gaza War of 2024 has tested these longstanding alliances, bringing Israel's actions under unprecedented international scrutiny. The conflict has raised serious questions about the ethical implications of Israel's military tactics, the humanitarian consequences of its operations, and the effectiveness of its policies in achieving long-term peace in the region [5], [6].

As Israel faces increasing condemnation from both regional and global powers, its diplomatic relations have undergone a significant transformation. While its traditional allies in the West have been more critical of its military actions, many nations from the Global South have stepped up their calls for accountability. The United Nations, European countries, and international human rights organizations have all expressed concern over Israel's approach to the Gaza conflict, with some taking concrete steps to impose sanctions, suspend military support, and downgrade diplomatic ties [7], [8].

The war has also highlighted the growing divide within international relations concerning the Israeli-Palestinian issue. On one side, Israel's supporters continue to defend its right to self-defense, citing security concerns and the need to protect its citizens from rocket attacks. On the other side, critics argue that Israel's military response has been disproportionate, violating international humanitarian law and exacerbating the already dire humanitarian crisis in Gaza. This complex interplay of perspectives has further polarized the international community, with global powers increasingly choosing sides in the ongoing struggle between Israel and Palestine [9], [10].

The Gaza War has also brought to the forefront the role of international law in shaping global opinions and actions. Allegations of war crimes and human rights violations have prompted investigations by international bodies such as the International Criminal Court (ICC) and the International Court of Justice (ICJ), adding legal weight to the diplomatic and economic pressures Israel now faces. These legal proceedings are not only about seeking justice for the victims of the war but also represent a growing effort to hold states accountable for actions taken during conflicts, particularly when violations of international humanitarian law are suspected [11], [12], [13].

In addition, the recognition of Palestinian statehood by several countries, particularly in Europe, marks a turning point in global diplomacy. This shift reflects a deepening frustration with the stagnation of peace talks and a growing belief in the legitimacy of Palestinian aspirations for self-determination. For Israel, this shift represents a significant challenge to its stance on the peace process and its approach to the Palestinian issue [9], [14].

This study aims to explore the various diplomatic, economic, and legal ramifications of the Gaza War, with a particular focus on how these developments are contributing to Israel's increasing isolation on the global stage. Through an analysis of international responses, legal actions, and shifts in public opinion, this research will provide a deeper understanding of the changing dynamics of Middle Eastern geopolitics and their broader implications for international relations. By examining these factors, this study seeks to shed light on Israel's evolving position in the international community and the potential consequences for future peace negotiations and regional stability [15], [16].

Method

This study employs a qualitative research approach, which is particularly well-suited for analyzing complex geopolitical events such as the Gaza War and the resulting shifts in Israel's global standing. The research relies on secondary data collected from a variety of sources, including diplomatic statements, legal documents, media reports, and reports from international organizations such as the United Nations, the European Union, and human rights organizations. These sources provide a comprehensive view of the international community's responses to the Gaza War, including official resolutions, sanctions, and legal proceedings [17], [18].

First, the study analyzes key United Nations resolutions, such as those passed by the General Assembly, which called for a ceasefire and condemned the disproportionate use of force in Gaza. This analysis is extended to European Union and individual European countries' decisions, particularly focusing on the imposition of arms embargoes, economic sanctions, and the downgrading of diplomatic ties with Israel. By examining these actions, the study seeks to identify the broader trends in international disapproval of Israel's policies and the emerging fractures in longstanding alliances [19], [20]. The study also examines the legal proceedings initiated by international bodies such as the International Criminal Court (ICC) and the International Court of Justice (ICJ). Key cases, such as the genocide case filed by South Africa and the war crimes investigations against Israeli leaders, are scrutinized to assess their potential implications for international law and Israel's diplomatic relations. The research methodology involves a content analysis of these legal documents and case developments,

alongside a review of media coverage and public opinion on these legal actions [21], [22].

Additionally, the study explores the role of grassroots movements, particularly the Boycott, Divestment, and Sanctions (BDS) movement, in shaping international perceptions of Israel. By analyzing participation in BDS campaigns and the economic impact of multinational corporations reassessing their operations in Israel, the study provides insight into the economic ramifications of Israel's increasing isolation [23], [24]. Overall, the method combines diplomatic analysis, legal scrutiny, and economic evaluation to offer a holistic view of the consequences of the Gaza War on Israel's global position. This approach allows for a nuanced understanding of how international decisions and actions are reshaping Israel's future diplomatic and economic relationships, as well as the broader implications for the Middle East peace process.

Results and Discussion

International Condemnation and Sanctions

The international community's response to Israel's actions during the Gaza War has been one of widespread condemnation, particularly highlighted by the United Nations General Assembly's resolution urging an immediate ceasefire. This resolution was a clear signal of global disapproval, especially from countries in the Global South and European nations that have historically been aligned with Israel. Several European countries, such as Norway and Ireland, took significant steps by imposing arms embargoes or suspending military exports to Israel. These actions were motivated by concerns over Israel's adherence to international humanitarian law and its handling of the civilian toll in Gaza. The imposition of sanctions reflects a growing unease within traditional Western allies of Israel, signaling a shift in the global political environment. As countries such as Norway and Ireland reconsidered their military cooperation with Israel, this signaled a broader trend of waning support for Israeli actions, further isolating the country on the global stage. These sanctions highlight a key dimension of Israel's deteriorating international relations and underscore the significant geopolitical consequences of its military actions in Gaza [25], [26], [27].

Shifting Alliances in the Middle East

The Gaza War has notably realigned alliances in the Middle East, a region already fraught with complex political and ideological divides. Countries such as Turkey and Iran, which have long been critics of Israel, intensified their rhetoric and calls for collective action against Israeli aggression. These nations leveraged the Gaza conflict to consolidate support among Arab and Muslim-majority countries,

presenting a united front in opposition to Israel's policies. The diplomatic repercussions of these actions reverberated across the Middle East, particularly as public opinion in Arab countries grew increasingly hostile toward Israel's conduct. This shift in sentiment has placed considerable pressure on governments that had previously signed normalization agreements with Israel, such as those within the Abraham Accords. The normalization agreements, which had been heralded as historic breakthroughs in Israeli-Arab relations, now face renewed scrutiny and challenges from public opinion and political pressures within Arab states. Conversely, the United States, a key ally of Israel, maintained its support for Israel's right to self-defense but also called for restraint in military actions to prevent further civilian casualties. This nuanced stance from the U.S. reflected the complexities of maintaining support for Israel while addressing international calls for accountability [28], [29].

Economic and Diplomatic Ramifications

The Gaza War's economic consequences for Israel are profound, as the Boycott, Divestment, and Sanctions (BDS) movement gained significant momentum globally. The BDS movement, which advocates for economic pressure against Israel, has seen an increase in participation, leading to a re-evaluation of business operations and investments in Israel by multinational corporations. Several companies, particularly those in the technology, defense, and construction sectors, have faced growing pressure to either divest or cease operations in Israel. This has had a tangible economic impact on Israel's private sector, which relies heavily on international trade and investment. In parallel, countries such as South Africa and Malaysia have downgraded their diplomatic ties with Israel, further signaling a broader trend of distancing from the country. South Africa, with its historical commitment to human rights, has been particularly vocal in its criticism of Israel's actions, while Malaysia, a key player in Southeast Asia, has followed suit. These diplomatic shifts underscore the growing international isolation Israel faces, especially in light of its military actions in Gaza, and highlight the changing dynamics of global diplomacy [30], [31], [32], [33], [34], [35].

Legal and Humanitarian Impacts

The Gaza War has reignited discussions on international law, particularly concerning war crimes and the accountability of states in conflict situations. The International Criminal Court (ICC) has initiated investigations into potential war crimes committed by both Israeli forces and Palestinian militants during the conflict. The ICC's involvement marks a critical juncture for international law, as

it raises the possibility of legal actions against individuals responsible for violations of international humanitarian law. The International Court of Justice (ICJ), meanwhile, has begun a genocide case against Israel, filed by South Africa, claiming that Israel's actions in Gaza amount to systematic extermination. This case has significant implications not only for Israel's legal standing but also for the future of international human rights jurisprudence. The outcome of these investigations and cases could shape global norms regarding the conduct of war, state accountability, and the enforcement of international humanitarian law. If Israel is found guilty of war crimes or genocide, it could face international sanctions, reparations, and a loss of diplomatic legitimacy, deepening its global isolation [36], [37], [38].

Recognition of a Palestinian State

A growing number of European countries, including Sweden, Belgium, and Ireland, have formally recognized the State of Palestine, marking a significant shift in international diplomacy regarding the Israeli-Palestinian conflict. These recognitions reflect a broader frustration with the stagnation of peace talks and a desire to support Palestinian self-determination. This move comes alongside increasing support for Palestinian statehood within international forums such as the United Nations, which granted Palestine non-member observer state status in 2012. These recognitions place additional pressure on Israel, which views such actions as unilateral and undermining the prospects for a negotiated two-state solution. For Israel, the recognition of Palestinian statehood by these European countries represents a significant diplomatic challenge, particularly as it seeks to maintain its position as a key player in the region. However, Palestinians view these recognitions as a validation of their claims for sovereignty, reinforcing the legitimacy of their statehood aspirations. This growing international recognition of Palestine further complicates Israel's diplomatic efforts and underscores the increasing divide between the two parties [39], [40], [41].

Implications for Israel's Diplomatic Relations

Israel's relations with its traditional allies, especially the United States and the European Union, have been increasingly strained in the wake of the Gaza War. The U.S., while continuing to support Israel's right to defend itself, has faced growing domestic and international pressure to adopt a more balanced approach to the Israel-Palestine conflict. This shift reflects broader changes in U.S. foreign policy, as public protests and Congressional debates highlight a desire for a more even-handed approach. Similarly, the European Union has been more vocal in its criticism of Israel, with member states calling for accountability and the protection of Palestinian civilians. The EU's position on Israel has

evolved from tacit support to a more critical stance, which is exemplified by the suspension of arms exports and diplomatic pressure. In response to this growing diplomatic isolation, Israel has sought to diversify its international alliances, particularly with countries in Asia and Africa. This strategy aims to reduce Israel's reliance on traditional Western allies and expand its influence in emerging markets. Furthermore, Russia and China have become more active in Middle Eastern affairs, openly criticizing Israel's actions and supporting Palestinian statehood. These developments reflect broader geopolitical shifts, with Russia and China seeking to challenge Western dominance in the region. As Israel's traditional alliances weaken, it will need to navigate these new diplomatic challenges while addressing the growing international pressure on its policies.

Conclusion

The Gaza War of 2024 has led to Israel's growing international isolation, marked by condemnation from global bodies, sanctions, and shifting alliances. The rise of the BDS movement, the increasing recognition of Palestinian statehood, and legal actions by international courts underscore the growing importance of international law in holding Israel accountable. This study highlights the need for Israel to reassess its policies to avoid further estrangement, emphasizing the role of international law, diplomatic relations, and global alliances. The findings underscore the need for renewed international efforts to mediate peace, with a focus on human rights and justice. Further research is required on the long-term effects of these shifts on Israel's regional standing and its relations with emerging powers, such as China and Russia. A sustainable resolution requires continued international pressure and a commitment to peace.

Author Contributions

The Author Was responsible for the conceptualization and methodology of the research, as well as overseeing the entire project. Managed all aspects of the project administration, conducted investigations, and led the writing, review, and editing of the manuscript.

Acknowledgement

I would like to express our sincere gratitude to Almuhibbah Open University for their unwavering support and encouragement throughout this research. Additionally, I extend my heartfelt thanks to the anonymous reviewer for providing invaluable feedback and insightful suggestions that greatly contributed to the improvement of this paper.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Funding

This research did not receive any financial support.

Bibliography

- [1] G. Lewando Hundt, D. Chatty, A. A. Thabet, and H. Abuateya, "Advocating multi-disciplinarity in studying complex emergencies: The limitations of a psychological approach to understanding how young people cope with prolonged conflict in gaza," *J. Biosoc. Sci.*, vol. 36, no. 4, pp. 417–431, 2004, <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0021932004006649>.
- [2] M. Waston, Yusuf Olawale Owa-Onire Uthman, Mahmudulhassan, "Ian G. Barbour's Thoughts on Science and Religion," *J. World Thinkers*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 1–16, 2024, <https://doi.org/10.61455/jwt.v1i02.80> Ian.
- [3] A. N. Andri Nirwana, Mahmudulhassan, M. Ali, Muthoifin, Waston, and A. R. B. S. Senathirajah, "The Intersection of Quranic Studies and Modern Technology: A Bibliometric Analysis of Academic Publications from 2000 to 2024," *Qubahan Acad. J.*, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 178–190, 2024, <https://doi.org/10.48161/qaj.v4n4a981>.
- [4] E. S. Utaminingsih, F. R. Wuriningsih, and M. A. Puspita, "Leveraging interactive e-learning platforms to enhance problem-solving ability in science learning: A pathway to achieving SDG 4 for quality education," *Borobudur Int. Symp. Humanit. Soc. Sci.*, vol. 1, pp. 1–19, 2025, <https://doi.org/10.31603/bised.176>.
- [5] A. McRobbie, "No such thing as peacetime: Notes on Gaza, Hannah Arendt and cultural studies," *Eur. J. Cult. Stud.*, vol. 27, no. 6, pp. 1304–1313, 2024, <https://doi.org/10.1177/13675494241252942>.
- [6] K. Hamilton, "The deterrence logic of state warfare: Israel and the Second Lebanon War, 2006," in *Contemporary State Terrorism: Theory and Practice*, Taylor and Francis, 2009, pp. 213–227. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203868355-20>.
- [7] E. Georgaca, P. Plataniti, A. Vardoulaki, M. Pampouchidou, A. Nomidou, and P. Chondros, "Assessing quality of care and observance of human rights in residential mental health facilities in Greece through the WHO QualityRights tool kit," *Int. J. Psychol.*, vol. 58, no. 1, pp. 59–68, 2023, <https://doi.org/10.1002/ijop.12874>.
- [8] N. L. Rubel, "Chased out of palestine: Prophet Cherry's church of god and

- early black Judaisms in the United States," in *The New Black Gods: Arthur Huff Fauset and The Study of African American Religions*, University of Rochester, United States: Indiana University Press, 2009, pp. 49–69. [Online]. Available: <https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-84895671524&partnerID=40&md5=298e4a0ac1c5dfa67819048f3ab388d8>
- [9] A. T. Sullivan, "Recent developments in Palestinian higher education and the CEEPAT programme," *Minerva*, vol. 33, no. 1, pp. 37–43, 1995, <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01098618>.
 - [10] K. G. A. Bedriñana, M. R. Carnero, and J. A. R. Martín, "The human right to water in the Gaza Strip from a gender perspective, in the framework of the Sustainable Development Goals," *Agua y Territ.*, no. 21, pp. 53–68, 2023, <https://doi.org/10.17561/AT.21.6503>.
 - [11] S. I. Wolf, "Learning Islam 'ala Gaza': Palestinian Shaykhs as Figures of Religious Authority in Indonesian Palestine Solidarity Practices," *Int. J. Islam Asia*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 170–202, 2023, <https://doi.org/10.1163/25899996-20223007>.
 - [12] J. Khanom, M. T. Islam, and Mahmudulhassan, "Women's Rights in Islamic Culture: A Bibliometric Analysis of Trends, Influential Authors, and Institutional Contributions (1969–2023)," *Solo Univers. J. Islam. Educ. Multicult.*, vol. 3, no. 01, pp. 27–42, Dec. 2024, <https://doi.org/10.61455/sujiem.v3i01.232>.
 - [13] K. F. Maulinda, L. W. Purnama, M. A. Marelyno, S. Sa, and F. Hidayat, "Maktabah Reviews," *Maktab. Rev.*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 99–118, 2024, <https://doi.org/10.61455/mr.v1i01.128> Transactions.
 - [14] Z. Bekerman and N. Shhadi, "Palestinian-jewish bilingual education in israel: Its influence on cultural identities and its impact on intergroup conflict," *J. Multiling. Multicult. Dev.*, vol. 24, no. 6, pp. 473–484, 2003, <https://doi.org/10.1080/01434630308666511>.
 - [15] N. Naser-Najjab, "Palestinian youth and the Arab Spring. Learning to think critically: A case study," *Contemp. Arab Aff.*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 279–291, 2012, <https://doi.org/10.1080/17550912.2012.672000>.
 - [16] E. Alshawish, M. S. Qadous, and M. A. Yamani, "Experience of palestinian women after hysterectomy using a descriptive phenomenological study," *Open Nurs. J.*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 74–79, 2020, <https://doi.org/10.2174/1874434602014010074>.
 - [17] M. Abuzar, Mahmudulhassan, and Muthoifin, "University Students' Trust

- in AI: Examining Reliance and Strategies for Critical Engagement," *Int. J. Interact. Mob. Technol.*, vol. 19, no. 07, pp. 70-82, Apr. 2025, <https://doi.org/10.3991/ijim.v19i07.52875>.
- [18] Mahmudulhassan, Muthoifin, Andri Nirwana, Waston, and Muhammad Abuzar "Islam in Bangladesh: The Legacy of Hazrat Shah Jalal and the Spread of Islam in Bengal Mahmudulhassan, * Muthoifin, ** Andri Nirwana, *** Waston **** & Muhammad Abuzar *****," *J. Al-Tamaddun*, vol. 20, no. 8, pp. 127-144, 2025, <https://doi.org/10.22452/JAT.vol20no2.9>.
- [19] Sukisno, Waston, A. Nirwana, Mahmudulhassan, and M. Muthoifin, "Parenting problems in the digital age and their solution development in the frame of value education," *Multidiscip. Rev.*, vol. 7, no. 8, p. 2024163, Apr. 2024, <https://doi.org/10.31893/multirev.2024163>.
- [20] Sekar Ayu Aryani *et al.*, "Exploring Student-Centered Learning as a Tool to Prevent Radicalization in Islamic Junior Schools: A Case Study of Indonesia and Bangladesh," *J. Pendidik. Agama Islam*, vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 329-345, Dec. 2024, <https://doi.org/10.14421/jpai.v21i2.10492>.
- [21] A. N. A.N., M. Mahmudulhassan, F. D. Marshal, M. Muthoifin, and N. Fadli, "Human rights and social justice in Quranic contexts: a global trend," *Leg. J. Ilm. Huk.*, vol. 32, no. 2, pp. 453-471, Sep. 2024, <https://doi.org/10.22219/ljih.v32i2.35088>.
- [22] A. Nirwana AN, M. Mahmudulhassan, M. Ali, M. Muthoifin, W. Waston, and A. R. Bin S. Senathirajah, "The Intersection of Quranic Studies and Modern Technology: A Bibliometric Analysis of Academic Publications from 2000 to 2024," *Qubahan Acad. J.*, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 178-190, Dec. 2024, <https://doi.org/10.48161/qaj.v4n4a981>.
- [23] A. N. AN, . M., . M., and . W., "Bibliometric Analysis of Islamic Education and Character Development in Religious Education Practices in Indonesia," *Pakistan J. Life Soc. Sci.*, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 1231-1245, 2024, <https://doi.org/10.57239/PJLSS-2024-22.2.0086>.
- [24] Ardiansyah *et al.*, "Tracing Trends in Quran Memorization and Cognitive Learning: A Bibliometric Analysis from the Scopus Database," *Pakistan J. Life Soc. Sci.*, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 1493-1509, 2024, <https://doi.org/10.57239/PJLSS-2024-22.2.00105>.
- [25] R. Edworthy, S. Sampson, and B. Völlm, "Inpatient forensic-psychiatric care: Legal frameworks and service provision in three European countries," *Int. J. Law Psychiatry*, vol. 47, pp. 18-27, 2016, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijlp.2016.02.027>.

- [26] C. Petrescu, A. M. Ellena, M. Fernandes-Jesus, and E. Marta, "Using Evidence in Policies Addressing Rural NEETs: Common Patterns and Differences in Various EU Countries," *Youth Soc.*, vol. 54, no. 2_suppl, pp. 69S-88S, 2022, <https://doi.org/10.1177/0044118X211056361>.
- [27] M. J. Valero, "Freedom of Conscience of Healthcare Professionals and Conscientious Objection in the European Court of Human Rights," *Religions*, vol. 13, no. 6, 2022, <https://doi.org/10.3390/rel13060558>.
- [28] S. Dadparvar and A. Parto, "Great powers , the arming of non - state groups , and the prolongation of armed conflicts in the Middle East," *Asian Rev. Polit. Econ.*, 2025, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s44216-025-00046-8>.
- [29] I. Fraihat and T. Yaseen, "Evolving Trends in the Post-Arab Spring Era: Implications for Peace and Stability in the MENA Region," *J. Peacebuilding Dev.*, vol. 15, no. 3, pp. 331-347, 2020, <https://doi.org/10.1177/1542316620934365>.
- [30] C. E. Abdoulaye Niang, "Globalization and missionary ambition in West African Islam. The Fayda after Sheikh Ibrahim Niasse," *Religions*, vol. 12, no. 7, 2021, <https://doi.org/10.3390/rel12070515>.
- [31] R. Eksteen, "The Suez aftermath: South Africa and liberation movements," *Tydskr. vir Geesteswetenskappe*, vol. 64, no. 1, pp. 27-52, 2024, <https://doi.org/10.17159/2224-7912/2024/v64n1a2>.
- [32] L. Khakim, R. Muthia, and N. Asiyah, "Implementation of Islamic Education Values through 5S Habits : A Program Management Approach in Madrasa," *Quality*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 199-214, 2025, <https://doi.org/10.21043/quality.v13i2.32010>.
- [33] Mahmudulhassan, S. Rahmawati, F. Qurrota, and A. Tamami, "The Integration of Faith and National Identity : A Comprehensive Study on Islamic Patriotism and Its Theological Implications," *Solo Univers. J. Islam. Educ. Multicult.*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 83-92, 2024, <https://doi.org/10.61455/sujiem.v2i02.158>.
- [34] Mahmudulhassan, A. Nirwana, and K. Saif Uddin Ahmed, "Exploring the Contributions of Prof. Dr. Syed Ali Ashraf to the Islamization of Knowledge in Bangladesh: A Comprehensive Analysis," *J. World Thinkers*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 91-98, 2024, <https://doi.org/10.61455/jwt.v1i02.80>.
- [35] Z. A. Hossein and M. Mahmudulhassan, "Legal Disruption and Sharia Arbitration: Navigating Change, Measuring Impact, and Fostering Innovation," *Indones. J. Islam. Econ. Law*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 39-49, 2023, <https://doi.org/10.23917/ijoel.v1i1.3436>.

- [36] D. D. Baillets, "Representing Canadian justice: Legal iconography and symbolism at the Supreme Court of Canada," *Int. J. Law Context*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 132–156, 2017, <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1744552317000180>.
- [37] H. G. Aguilar, "Role of institutions on the freedom of expression in the labor sphere Critical analysis of the discourse on the jurisprudence of the Inter-American Court of Human Rights," *Vis. Rev. Int. Vis. Cult. Rev. / Rev. Int. Cult.*, vol. 9, 2022, <https://doi.org/10.37467/revvisual.v9.3759>.
- [38] S. Rasban, A. Abdullah, and A. Hasan, "An analysis of residue net estate distribution to bayt al-māl in Singapore," *ISRA Int. J. Islam. Financ.*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 49–67, 2020, <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJIF-04-2019-0055>.
- [39] P. L. Hammack, *Narrative and the Politics of Identity: The Cultural Psychology of Israeli and Palestinian Youth*. University of California, Santa Cruz, United States: Oxford University Press, 2010. <https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780195394467.001.0001>.
- [40] S. Samy, *Reparations to Palestinian refugees: A comparative perspective*. St Edmund Hall, Department of Politics and International Relations, University of Oxford, United Kingdom: Taylor and Francis, 2010. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203857038>.
- [41] I. Domínguez de Olazábal, "On Indigenous Refusal against Externally-Imposed Frameworks in Historic Palestine," *Millenn. J. Int. Stud.*, vol. 51, no. 1, pp. 212–236, 2022, <https://doi.org/10.1177/03058298221131359>.

Copyright

© 2025 The Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC-BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited. See <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

¹ Almuhibbah Open University, Abuja, Nigeria, Email: mukhtarimam01@Gmail.Com